

XXVI. NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY**A. OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY****STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES****SECTOR OUTCOME**

Sound, stable and supportive macroeconomic environment sustained

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Sound economic and development management effected

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION**ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)****BASELINE****2022 TARGETS**

Sound economic and development management effected

SOCIO-ECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator(s)

1. Percentage of policy recommendations adopted

86.32% (568 of 658)

At least 88%

2. Percentage of agenda items related to the plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the Secretariat

93.79% (287 of 306)

At least 93%

3. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

a. NEDA Board

No meeting held in Q1, Q2, and Q3 of 2019 For Q4 meeting although no client survey was conducted, the members of the NB did not express any negative feedback or manifest any adverse reaction to the materials distributed and to the secretariat support provided

At least 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating

NEDA Board Committees:

b. Social Development Committee

4.63 or 92.6% (Outstanding) average rating

At least 3.75 / 5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating

c. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters

4.34 or 86.8% (Very Satisfactory) average rating

At least 2.5/5 or 50% (Satisfactory) average rating

d. National Land Use Committee (NLUC)

4 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating

At least 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating

e. Regional Development Committee (RDCom)

4.37 or 87.4% (Very Satisfactory) average rating

At least 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating

f. Other Inter-Agency Committees

4.5 or 90% (Outstanding) average rating

At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very Satisfactory) average rating

g. Regional Development Councils (RDC)

4.5 or 90% (Outstanding) average rating

At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating

Output Indicator(s)

1. Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters

94.83% (624 of 658)

At least 97%

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion | | |
| 2. Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and/or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning respectively, for approval | 25 total | 15 total |
| 3. Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period | 44 total | 52 total |

NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator(s)

1. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

NEDA Board Committees:

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| a. Investment Coordination Committee (ICC) | 4.54 or 90.8% (Outstanding) average rating | At least 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating |
| b. Infrastructure Committee | 4.58 or 91.6% (Outstanding) average rating | At least 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating |
| c. Other Inter-agency Committees | 4.54 or 90.8% (Outstanding) average rating | At least 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating |
| 2. Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the Public Investment Program (PIP) | 92.59% (25 of 27) | At least 90% |

Output Indicator(s)

| | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------|
| 1. Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted by every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action | 15 total | 17 total |
| 2. Percentage of project appraised within target deadline | 95.06% (231 of 243) | At least 90% |

NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator(s)

| | | |
|--|--|------------------------|
| 1. Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework (BPF) | SER adopted in Parts III and IV of the BPF | SER adopted in the BPF |
| 2. Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period | 98.61% (71 of 72) | At least 100% |
| 3. Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues | 100% (67 of 67) | At least 100% |

Output Indicator(s)

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| 1. Number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule | 16 total | 16 total |
| a. Socio-Economic Report (SER) | 1 SER | 1 SER |
| b. Regional Development Report (RDR) | 15 RDRs | 15 RDRs |
| 2. One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually | 1 | 1 |

3. Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline

100% (31 of 31)

At least 90%